

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/929,681	08/13/2001	Laurence S. Sloman	A01P1062	9085
36802	7590 07/26/2004		EXAMINER	
PACESETTER, INC.			OROPEZA, FRANCES P	
15900 VALLEY VIEW COURT SYLMAR, CA 91392-9221			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		3762	

DATE MAILED: 07/26/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Ä		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	- 9		
	09/929,681	SLOMAN, LAURE	NCE S.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Frances P. Oropeza	3762			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	th the correspondence ad	dress		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl' - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a re y within the statutory minimum of thirty will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON ² e, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely THS from the mailing date of this or ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 4/26/	<u>/04 (Amendment)</u> .				
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ This	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for alloward	nce except for formal matte	ers, prosecution as to the	e merits is		
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	ır.				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>26 April 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyan	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CF	FR 1.121(d).		
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached	Office Action or form PT	O-152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori	s have been received. s have been received in Aprity documents have been	oplication No	Stage		
application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	` ' ' '	received			
See the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the certified copies flot i	eceived.			
Attachment(s)	»□····-	(270)))			
1)		ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date			
Notice of Dialisperson's Patent Diawing Review (P10-946) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		formal Patent Application (PTC)-152)		

Application/Control Number: 09/929,681

Art Unit: 3762

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. The Applicant amended the independent claims to overcome the rejection of record, hence the rejection of record is withdrawn and a new rejection established in the subsequent paragraphs.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for operating parameters (specification – paragraphs 15 and 56), does not reasonably provide enablement for "operating parameters, other than pacing pulse width and pacing pulse amplitude, require adjustment". The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims. While independent claims 1, 8, 11 and 21 are clear as to what the operating parameter is not, support for this limitation is not evident in the specification. Clarification of the operating parameter is needed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. Claims 1-3, 5-17, 19 and 21-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kleks et al. (US 5417718). Kleks et al. teach an autocapture system that adjusts the pulse energy based on sensitivity levels (abstract; col. 1 @ 10-20; col. 2 @ 1-10, 37-40, 56-61;

Application/Control Number: 09/929,681

Art Unit: 3762

col. 3 @ 4-11, 30-34, 39-57; 5 @ 14-18, 28-47, 58-63; col. 9 @ 30-34; col. 12 @ 26-53; col. 13 @ 4-22; col. 19 @ 57 – col. 20 @ 7).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. Claims 4, 18 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kleks et al. (US 5417718) in view of Mann et al. (US 5127402). As discussed in paragraph 3 of this action, Kleks et al. disclose the claimed invention except for the operating parameter being a maximum sensor rate.

Mann et al. teach managing stimulation pulse amplitude in an implantable device by limiting the maximum sensor rate based on the detected voltage for the purpose of regulating the current drain. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have used a feature of limiting the programmed maximum sensor rate based on the detected voltage in the Kleks et al. system in order to extend battery life, avoiding costly, potentially dangerous surgery to replace the battery (col. 1 @ 13-20; col. 3 @ 20-41; col. 6 @ 18-23; col. 6 @ 63 – col. 8 @ 58).

Statutory Basis

5. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Art Unit: 3762

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Fran Oropeza, telephone number is (703) 605-4355. The Examiner can normally be reached on Monday – Friday from 9 a.m. to 5:30 p.m.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's Supervisor, Angela D. Sykes can be reached on (703) 308-5181. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306 for regular communication and for After Final communications. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Receptionist, telephone number is (703) 308-0858.

Frances P. Oropeza Patent Examiner Art Unit 3762 1/22/04

ANGELA D. SYKES SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700

angel. D. Ah,

Page 4